

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,937	07/09/2001	07/09/2001 Akhter Akhterzzaman		7473
	7590 05/11/200 PATTI & ASSOCIATE	EXAMINER		
ONE NORTH	LASALLE STREET	PEREZ, A	PEREZ, ANGELICA	
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/900,937	AKHTERZZAMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Perez M. Angelica	2618		

	Perez M. Angelica	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLT WAS P	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 28-35.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12月 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. □ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	•		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Naiki reference does teach of "receiving at the mobile communication device a first signal transmitted from the supporting exchange..." As previously cited in paragraphs 31 and 33, Naiki teaches where when the portable information apparatus is brought into a congested location, the transmission prohibition signal (coming from a "supporting exchange", part of the system that allows interaction with other elements of the system), "prohibition and/or communication permission signal", can block transmission.

In addition, Naiki does not teach away from Tomoike's invention because his invention tries to preserve resouces by utilizing a prevention signal at a lower power level than a regular communication and/or equipment signal. Thus, it can be considered as a variation of Tomoike's method. Since both inventions aim to maximize resources and to prevent calls from taking place in a congested area; therefore, they can be combined

Furthermore, assuming arguendo, if the Tomoike's reference was removed, Kowaguchi's and Naiki's combination teach all the limitations presented by the applicant.

Angelica M. Pert (571) 272-7885

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600